PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

DEC 17 2008

SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC. LEGAL DEPARTMENT

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF

SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC. Attn. Barnhardt, Hubert J.III Intellectual Property Department 5030 Sugarloaf Parkway Lawrenceville, GA 30044 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE	THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION
	(PCT Rule 44.1)
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 15/12/2008
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR EURTUER ACTION
P-9359-PC	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below
International application No. PCT/US2008/070856	International filing date (day/month/year) 23/07/2008
Applicant	
SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC.	
Authority have been established and are transmitted herewi Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claim When? The time limit for filing such amendments is nor International Search Report. Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (4 For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the act of the applicant is hereby notified that no international search Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the Ir 3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) addition the protest together with the decision thereon has bee	ns of the International Application (see Rule 46): mally two months from the date of transmittal of the chemin des Colombettes 11–22) 338.82.70 ccompanying sheet. report will be established and that the declaration under nternational Searching Authority are transmitted herewith. onal fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: In transmitted to the International Bureau together with the test and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.
Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International B before the completion of the technical preparations for internation. The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of international preliminary examination report has been or is to be a the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date, but only in respect of sore examination must be filled if the applicant wishes to postpone the date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, we acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months months.	publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international ureau as provided in Rules 90 bis.1 and 90 bis.3, respectively, nal publication. written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the f such comments to all designated Offices unless an established. These comments would also be made available to ority date. me designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed ices. s (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19
See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the app Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.	

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Agnieszka Arias-Kraska

FATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER		see Form PCT/ISA/220
P-9359-PC	ACTION as well as where applicable, item 5 below.		
International application No.	International filing date (day/mont	h/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/US2008/070856	23/07/2008	·	31/07/2007
Applicant			
SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC.			
This international search report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra			y and is transmitted to the applicant
This international search report consists o	of a total ofshe	ets.	
X It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document of	cited in this re	port.
Basis of the report			
a. With regard to the language, the	international search was carried ou	t on the basis	of:
	application in the language in which	it was filed	
a translation of the of a translation fu	e international application into rnished for the purposes of internati	onal search (, which is the language Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))
	report has been established taking i o this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule		ne rectification of an obvious mistake
c. With regard to any nucleo	otide and/or amino acid sequence	e disclosed in	the international application, see Box No. I.
2. Certain claims were fou	nd unsearchable (See Box No. II)		
3. Unity of invention is lac	king (see Box No III)		
4. With regard to the title ,			
X the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant		
the text has been establis	hed by this Authority to read as follo	ows:	
-			
	•		
	•		
5. With regard to the abstract,			
X the text is approved as su	ibmitted by the applicant		
			as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant
may, within one month ito	orn the date of mailing of this interna	monai search	report, submit comments to this Authority
6. With regard to the drawings ,			,
a. the figure of the drawings to be p	published with the abstract is Figure	No. <u>8</u>	
as suggested by	the applicant		•
as selected by thi	s Authority, because the applicant f	ailed to sugge	est a figure
X as selected by thi	s Authority, because this figure bett	ter characteriz	zes the invention
b. none of the figures is to b	e published with the abstract		•

INTER' TIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int itional application No PCT/US2008/070856

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER INV. H04N5/765 H04N5/45

ADD. H04N5/783

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) $H04N\,$

...

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Х	US 2006/093320 A1 (HALLBERG BRYAN S [US] ET AL) 4 May 2006 (2006-05-04) figure 1 figure 4 paragraph [0007] - paragraph [0009] paragraph [0066] - paragraph [0075] paragraph [0082] paragraph [0108] - paragraph [0113]	1–20
Α	EP 1 161 089 A (MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC IND CO LTD [JP]) 5 December 2001 (2001-12-05) figure 1 paragraph [0018] paragraph [0019] paragraph [0023] paragraph [0065]	1-20
,	-/-	

X Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	X See patent family annex.		
* Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	 "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "&" document member of the same patent family 		
Date of the actual completion of the international search 4 December 2008	Date of mailing of the international search report 15/12/2008		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31–70) 340–2040, Fax: (+31–70) 340–3016	Authorized officer Franchitti, Thomas		

1

INTER! TIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int __tional application No PCT/US2008/070856

0/0	Name and the second sec	PCT/US200	0/ 0/ 0000
C(Continua	tion). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages		Relevant to claim No.
A	US 2003/170003 A1 (LEVESQUE ALAIN P [US] ET AL LEVESQUE ALAIN P [CA] ET AL) 11 September 2003 (2003-09-11) figure 3 figure 5 figure 6 paragraph [0042]		1–20
			·
		· ·	
•			

1

INTER' TIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

In. Citional application No PCT/US2008/070856

		The state of the s				
. Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date	
US 2006093320	A1	04-05-2006	WO	2006050223 A2	11-05-2006	
EP 1161089	Α	05-12-2001	NONE			
US 2003170003	A1	11-09-2003	MX	PA00008659 A	16-12-2002	

ATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/US2008/070856 23.07.2008 31.07.2007 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC INV. H04N5/765 H04N5/45 ADD. H04N5/783 Applicant SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI. Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Date of completion of this opinion

Authorized Officer

9))

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentla age form NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas
Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl
Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

ଞ୍ଚଳ form PCT/ISA/210 Franchitti, Thomas

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4161

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2008/070856

_	Во	x No). I	Basis of the opinion
1.	Wit	h re	gard	to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	\boxtimes	the	inte	ernational application in the language in which it was filed
				elation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the es of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).
2.				pinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))
3.	Wit	h reg	gard ary f	to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a, t	ype	of m	naterial:
	l		a se	equence listing
	ı		tabl	e(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. f	orma	at of	f material:
	l		on _l	paper
	ı		in e	electronic form
	c. ti	ime	of fi	ling/furnishing:
	1		con	tained in the international application as filed.
	!		filed	d together with the international application in electronic form.
	- 1		furr	nished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
4.		has	s be oies	ition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto een filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as oriate, were furnished.
5.	Add	ditio	nal d	comments:
	Во	x No	o. II	Priority
1.		do	ės n quire	alidity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where ed, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the ption that the relevant date (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.
2.		has	s be	pinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim ten found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international ate indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.

3. Additional observations, if necessary:

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

4-10,12,15-20

No:

Claims

1,2,3,11,13,14

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims No:

Claims

<u>1-20</u>

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-20

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

- 1 Reference is made to the following document:
 - D1: US 2006/093320 A1 (HALLBERG BRYAN S [US] ET AL) 4 May 2006 (2006-05-04)

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of **claim 1** is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

2.1 With respect to **claim 1**, the document **D1** discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A method (figure 4; paragraphs 66-75), comprising the steps of:

- (a) receiving a sequence of digitized uncompressed pictures corresponding to a first video program (numeral 210, paragraph 67, sentence 2);
- (b) producing a first video stream of compressed pictures, each of the compressed pictures corresponding to a picture in the received sequence of digitized uncompressed pictures, wherein the first video stream is produced by a video compression engine (numerals 220, 230);
- (c) producing reconstructed pictures corresponding to a decompressed version of respective compressed pictures in the first video stream, wherein the reconstructed pictures are produced by the video compression engine (numerals 250, 260, ref. path 2, paragraphs 71 and 75); and
- (d) providing a presentation of the first video program from the reconstructed pictures produced by the video compression engine (numeral 270).

Therefore, the subject-matter of **claim 1** is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

3 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 13

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of **claim 13** is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

3.1 With respect to **claim 13**, the document **D1** discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A system (figure 4, paragraphs 66-75), comprising a video compression engine (numerals 220, 230, 250, 260) configured to:

- provide reconstructed pictures "corresponding to a real-time presentation" of a video program (numerals 250, 260; ref. path 3);
- provide a compressed version of the real-time presentation (numerals 220, 230;
 ref. path 3, paragraph 75); and
- store the compressed version of the real-time presentation to a persistent storage device (numeral 240, ref. path 3, paragraph 75) while simultaneously providing the reconstructed pictures for the real-time presentation of the video program (paragraph 75).

Therefore, the subject-matter of **claim 13** is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

4 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-12 AND 14-20

Dependent **claims 2-12** and **14-20** do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).

Claim 2: Storing the first video stream to a persistent storage device while simultaneously providing the reconstructed pictures for the presentation of the first video program is known from **D1** (figure 4, numeral 240, ref. path 3, paragraph 75).

Claim 3: The expression *further comprises* which is used to introduce an **additional** feature to the subject-matter of **claims 2** is actually followed by *the step of only providing reference pictures* which is more restrictive in the sense that in **claim 2** both reference and non-reference pictures are provided. Therefore, **claim 3** can be considered as not adding anything new to **claim 2**.

It is also pointed out that performing trick play on the first video program is known from **D1** (paragraph 9) and it is well known that trick play can be achieved by providing only reference pictures.

Claim 4: The expression *simultaneously on a real-time basis* is not clear and therefore, no inventive step can be derived.

Claim 5: The feature *the* second video program is neither defined in **claim 5**, nor in its parent claims, thereby rendering the subject-matter of **claim 5** unclear. Therefore, no inventive step can be derived.

Claim 6: The expression a video quality of a future presentation of the stored first video program equals a video quality of the presentation of the first video program defines the subject-matter in terms of the result to be achieved, which merely amounts to a statement of the underlying problem, without providing the technical features necessary for achieving this result. Therefore, no inventive step can be derived from **claim 6**.

Claim 7: Does not add anything new to **claim 1** (see claim 3).

Claim 8: see claim 4

Claim 9: The expression *from non-reference pictures* is unclear because reference pictures are required anyway in order to reconstruct the non-reference pictures. It is also pointed out that picture-in-picture is well known.

Claim 10: see claim 6

Claim 11: Delaying a display of the video program by one "picture time delay" is known from **D1** (paragraph 70).

Claim 12: The expression *a reconstructed pictures* is not coherent and it is also pointed out that picture-in-picture is well known.

Claim 14: Although **claim 14** is drafted as a dependent claim, it contains a subset of the features of **claim 13**. Therefore, **claim 14** should be drafted as an independent claim and **claim 13** as a dependent claim.

Claim 14 is a rewording in terms of system features of corresponding method claim 1 and corresponding method claim 3. Therefore, claim 14 is not new.

Claim 15: see claim 10

Claims 16, 17 and 18: see claim 9

Claim 19: see claim 11

Claim 20: The addition of a video output port configured to format the reconstructed pictures for display is known from **D1** (figure 4, numeral 270, paragraph 66).